

# Effects of insufficient chilling on budburst and growth of six temperate forest tree species in Ontario

Rongzhou Man<sup>1</sup> · Pengxin Lu<sup>1</sup> · Qing-Lai Dang<sup>2</sup>

Received: 3 January 2020 / Accepted: 2 June 2020 / Published online: 17 June 2020 © Crown 2020

# Abstract

Insufficient winter chilling related to rising temperatures has raised questions about its potential effects on budburst timing (growth initiation) and subsequent tree growth. We quantified budburst timing and growth of seedlings of six Ontario temperate forest tree species after exposure to different levels of winter chilling using controlled forcing experiments. Based on chilling-forcing relationships, chilling requirements for the species tested ranged from 1000 to 1500 weighted chilling hours. Our findings showed that the species' chilling needs ranked from highest to lowest were yellow birch (Betula alleghaniensis), eastern larch (Larix laricina), eastern white pine (Pinus strobus), red pine (Pinus resinosa), red spruce (Picea rubens), and eastern white cedar (Thuja occidentalis). Species that needed more chilling to release dormancy generally required less cumulative forcing for budburst. The variations in chilling-forcing relationships among species suggest that they would respond differently to temperature changes, resulting in year to year variation in their relative phenology. Insufficient chilling increased cumulative forcing needed for budburst but only extreme chilling deficiency (<700 weighted chilling hours) caused abnormal budburst and growth, including reduced leaf and shoot expansion, early and erratic budburst in lower branches and stems, and even lack of budburst after 7 months in optimal growing conditions. Overall, our results suggest that based on climate warming projected for this century, insufficient winter chilling is not likely to occur in Ontario temperate forests in the foreseeable future.

**Keywords** Chilling requirement  $\cdot$  Forcing temperature  $\cdot$  Climate warming  $\cdot$  Winter dormancy  $\cdot$  Spring bud phenology

Rongzhou Man rongzhou.man@ontario.ca

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, Ontario Forest Research Institute, 1235 Queen Street East, Sault Ste. Marie, ON P6A 2E5, Canada

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Faculty of Natural Resources Management, Lakehead University, Thunder Bay, ON P7B 5E1, Canada

# Introduction

Spring budburst starts the annual growth cycle, influencing tree growth, competitiveness, and adaptation, as well as species distribution (Chuine and Beaubien 2001), forest composition (Roberts et al. 2015), carbon sequestration, and forest productivity (Richardson et al. 2012). In boreal and temperate climates, spring phenology is controlled primarily by temperature: winter chilling under cool temperatures drives dormancy release (Cannell and Smith 1983; Hänninen 1990; Polgar and Primack 2011) and spring forcing at warm temperatures drives budburst (Hänninen 1990; Polgar and Primack 2011). Climate warming increases spring forcing and shortens the time to budburst, assuming sufficient winter chilling has occurred (Heide 1993; Chmielewski and Rötzer 2001; Schwartz et al. 2006; Morin et al. 2009; Beaubien and Hamann 2011; Chen et al. 2018). However, climate warming effects on winter chilling vary in space and time (Zhang et al. 2007; Polgar and Primack 2011; Fu et al. 2015a, b; Chen et al. 2018) and may advance or delay budburst (Zhang et al. 2007; Fu et al. 2015a, b; Chen et al. 2018). For boreal forests, sufficient chilling is likely to continue (Man et al. 2017) allowing budburst to continue unaffected. However, as climate warms, winter chilling may no longer be sufficient (Morin et al. 2009; Yu et al. 2010; Polgar and Primack 2011; Guo et al. 2015) to meet the relatively high chilling needs of temperate forest species/populations (Hannerz et al 2003; Howe et al. 2003; Guy 2014).

In general, the amount of cumulative forcing needed for budburst decreases rapidly as winter chilling increases, then gradually stabilizes (Cannell and Smith 1983; Hannerz et al. 2003; Man et al. 2017). The rate and timing of chilling completion differ substantially among tree species (Man et al. 2017). To some extent, insufficient chilling may result in additional needs for forcing temperatures and delay budburst, without compromising budburst quality or subsequent growth (Cannell and Smith 1983; Heide 1993; Hannerz et al. 2003; Guak and Neilsen 2013; Harrington and Gould 2015; Man et al. 2017). The chilling–forcing relationship, however, has been examined for only a few tree species, and mostly in European forests (Cannell and Smith 1983; Hänninen 1990; Heide 1993; Hannerz et al. 2003). Compared with budburst timing, which has been the focus of most phenological research on forest trees, limited effort has been directed to understand the effects of insufficient chilling on budburst quality and subsequent growth, which have ecological and economic significance (Polgar and Primack 2011).

The objective of this study was to examine the effects of winter chilling on budburst and growth for six central (Canada) temperate forest tree species: yellow birch (*Betula alleghaniensis*), eastern white pine (*Pinus strobus*), eastern larch (*Larix laricina*), red pine (*Pinus resinosa*), eastern white cedar (*Thuja occidentalis*), and red spruce (*Picea rubens*) following the approach established by Man et al. (2017). These species are major components of Ontario temperate forest ecosystems (Rowe 1972; Burns and Honkala 1990), with significant economic, cultural, and spiritual values (OMNR 1998a, b). Specifically, we are interested in quantifying species-specific chilling and forcing requirements for dormancy release and budburst and more importantly chilling–forcing relationships, i.e., how cumulative forcing responds to different levels of winter chilling. Our goal is to better understand the effects of climate change on bud phenology and growth of Ontario's tree species.

# Materials and methods

#### Experimental seedlings

The seeds of study tree species were collected from multiple open-pollinated trees in seed zones 36 (eastern white pine, red pine, and eastern white cedar), 35 (eastern larch), and 29 (red spruce and yellow birch) (Seed Zones of Ontario "https://www.rrca.on.ca/\_files/file/ map-tree-seed-zones.pdf?phpMyAdmin=415bcc74a9c69072ce5800d6de86a905") belonging to the Great Lakes-St. Lawrence temperate forests in eastern Ontario  $(44^{\circ} 30' - 46^{\circ} 00')$ N, and 74° 26'-78° 00' W). Seeds were sown into 3.8 cm  $\times$  21 cm SC-10 Super Cell tubes filled with 2:1 peat moss/vermiculite (v/v) mixture in late May 2016 and grown in a greenhouse at the Ontario Forest Research Institute in Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario, Canada (46° 30' N, 84° 18' W). The greenhouse was programmed to provide 26 °C (day)/18 °C (night) temperatures and a 16-h photoperiod. Seedlings were watered as required and fertilized weekly with 20-8-20 (N-P-K) (Plant Products Co Ltd, Brampton, Ontario, Canada) at 100 ppm N. Beginning early September 2016, seedlings were moved outdoors and fertilization was adjusted to 20-20-20 at 50 ppm N. In early May 2017, the 1-year-old seedlings were transplanted into 4" square (10 cm sides  $\times$  15 cm deep) pots filled with 2:1 peat moss/vermiculite (v/v) mixture and grown in a greenhouse at the Ontario Forest Research Institute. Seedlings were watered as required and fertilized weekly with 20–8–20 (N–P–K) (Plant Products Co Ltd, Brampton, ON) at 100 ppm N for a month before being moved outdoors in early July. Watering and fertilization continued as required until early September when fertilization was adjusted to 20-20-20 at 50 ppm N and then discontinued in mid-October.

The seedlings were left outdoors for 2 years to undergo natural hardening and dehardening under ambient photoperiod and temperatures. Average seedling height at the outset of forcing experiments in the fall of 2018 was 115 cm for yellow birch, 23 cm for eastern white pine, 127 cm for eastern larch, 31 cm for red pine, 46 cm for eastern white cedar, and 53 cm for red spruce.

#### Forcing experiments

A series of forcing experiments were carried out to produce different levels of winter chilling and to quantify the corresponding cumulative forcing needed for budburst, and to document effects on subsequent budburst quality and growth. The experiments were conducted in three computer-controlled greenhouses (i.e., three replications) set at 15–20 °C (day)/5–10 °C (night) with natural photoperiod supplemented with high-pressure sodium lamps to 14 h. This temperature and photoperiod regime is typical of late April to late May conditions in northern Ontario, which is when leaf out generally occurs in the study areas (Fig. 1). Batches of seedlings were sequentially moved into the greenhouse from outdoors at about 10-day intervals between October 1st and mid-December and 20-day intervals thereafter to mid-April, which resulted in a time series of 16 forcing experiments for each species (15 in the greenhouses and one outdoors). Seedlings going into these 16 forcing experiments received different levels of winter chilling as they were exposed to outdoor chilling temperatures until being forced to burst bud in the greenhouses. In mid-April, one batch of seedlings (experiment 16) was subjected to additional artificial chilling at 2–4 °C for 15 days to extend the range of what was about average natural chilling during the



**Fig. 1** Outdoor air temperatures (daily high and low), winter chilling (cumulative weighted chilling hours as computed by Sarvas 1974), and spring forcing (cumulative growing degree hours > 0 °C since January 1) at the experimental site (Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario, Canada) where the seedlings for forcing experiments were exposed to ambient temperatures from fall 2018 to spring 2019

2018–2019 winter at Sault Ste Marie (Fig. 1; Tables 1, 2). To minimize temperature shock when outdoor temperatures were below -5 °C (early January to late March), seedlings were conditioned at 0–2 °C for 1–3 days before their transfer to greenhouses. In each of the forcing experiments, eight seedlings from each species were placed in each of the three greenhouses (replicates). In all, 384 seedlings per species were used.

Budburst (growth initiation) was assessed daily during the forcing experiments. A bud was considered to have flushed when its scales were broken and new green foliage was clearly visible. Eastern white cedar does not form vegetative buds and the time of growth initiation was determined by the change of shoot length at 1 cm from the tip following the methods by Harrington and Gould (2015). Budburst was considered abnormal for deciduous trees if it started first in lower branches and on stems and for evergreen conifers if bud and needle expansion were constrained. The chilling and forcing accumulations were calculated for individual seedlings based on their timing of budburst (growth initiation). For seedlings that did not flush throughout the experiments, a maximum forcing was calculated from the start of the experiment to May 31 when trees growing outdoors had begun flushing. In late June, all seedlings were moved outdoors to continue growth under natural conditions. Shoot growth on individual seedlings was assessed by measuring the length of the longest shoot 30 days after budburst and again at the end of the growing season (late October), except for eastern larch where apparent new shoot growth did not occur within a month and growth was assessed based on average needle length.

#### Chilling–forcing relationships

The chilling-forcing relationship was determined for each species using hourly temperature data recorded in the greenhouses and from the Environment Canada weather station at

Experiments   I   Z   J <thj< th="">   J   <th< th=""><th>4 0 0ct 31 Nov 1 398 550 in cumulative forc + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +</th><th>1 Nov 23 585 585 585 + + +</th><th>7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</th><th>Jec 16 .</th><th>Jan 4</th><th>10</th><th>11</th><th>71</th><th>C1</th><th>+ +</th><th>C</th><th>10</th></th<></thj<>	4 0 0ct 31 Nov 1 398 550 in cumulative forc + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	1 Nov 23 585 585 585 + + +	7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Jec 16 .	Jan 4	10	11	71	C1	+ +	C	10
	Oct 31 Nov 1 398 550 in cumulative forc + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	1 Nov 23 585 ing required for + +	Dec 2 I 679 7 t budburst + -	Jec 16 .54	Jan 4							
	398 550 in cumulative forc + + + + + + + + + + + + +	585 ing required fo + +	679 5 r budburst + -	54		Jan 24	Feb 14	Mar 12	Mar 27	Apr 12	Outd	Apr 12
(a) Differences among forcing experiments in cumulative forcing required for   BY + + + + +   PW + + + + + +   PW + + + + + + +   PW + + + + + + +   PR + + + + + + +   PR + + + + + + +   SR + + + + + + +	in cumulative forc + + + + + + + + + + + + +	ing required fo + +	r budburst + +		865	928	946	696	1095	1284	Outd#	1552
BY PW PW PW PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR PR	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + +	+ +									
PW LE LE LE CE CE CE CE CE CE CE CE CE CE CE CE CE	+ + + + + + + + + +	+ +	+		+	+	Ш	Ш	II	Ш	11	11
LE PR +	+ + + +	+			Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш
PR + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + +		"		Ш	11	Ш	Ш	II	II	II	II
CE + + + + + SR + + +	+ + +	+	+		Ш	11	II	11	II	II	II	II
SR + + + + + +	+	+	+		+	11	II	II	II	II	II	II
		+	+		+	Ш	II	II	II	II	II	II
(b) Differences between species												
PR: SR	I	I	1		1	1	I	I	I	I	I	I
PR: CE	1	I	"		Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	II	II	II	II
PR: LE + + + + + + +	+	+	" +		Ш	Ш	Ш	Ш	11	II	Ш	Ш
PR: PW + + + + = +	Ⅱ +	+	" +		Ш	+	+	Ш	11	II	Ш	Ш
LE: PW + + = = = = =		11	"		1	I	I	I	I	I	11	П

**Table 2** Cumulative weighted chilling hours (means  $\pm$  standard deviation, as computed with Sarvas 1974) and mean winter temperatures (°C, in parentheses) between October 1 and April 30 under three climate scenarios for four locations in the Great Lakes- St. Lawrence temperate forest region in eastern Ontario: Sault Ste. Marie (46° 29' N, 84° 31' W), Sudbury (46° 38' N, 80° 48' W), North Bay (46° 22' N, 79° 25' W), and Ottawa (45° 19' N, 75° 40' W)

Time period	Sault Ste. Marie	Sudbury	North Bay	Ottawa
(1) 1950s–1980s	1396±179 (-2.61)	1152±143 (-4.54)	$1160 \pm 149 (-4.05)$	$1364 \pm 162 (-2.04)$
(2) 1990s-2010s	$1494 \pm 233 \ (-1.97)$	$1269 \pm 189 (-3.79)$	$1264 \pm 197 (-3.46)$	$1444 \pm 174 (-1.26)$
(3)+2 °C	$1687 \pm 224 \ (+0.03)$	$1473 \pm 191 (-1.79)$	$1458 \pm 195 \ (-1.46)$	$1559 \pm 153 (+0.74)$

The scenario of +2 °C was obtained from uniform temperature increases of 1990s–2010s, based on recent projections (IPCC 2013)

the Sault Ste. Marie airport (46° 29' N, 84° 31' W), about 13 km from the Ontario Forest Research Institute. The rate of chilling was calculated using the Sarvas Chilling Rate Model (Sarvas 1974) which was reformulated by Hänninen (1990) and Kramer (1994). However, the published model parameters produced negative values and were replaced with the following obtained from refitting Sarvas's data

$$CH = \begin{cases} 0.145T + 0.493, & -3.4 < T \le +3.5 \\ -0.145T + 1.507, & 3.5 < T \le +10.4 \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$
(1)

where *CH* is weighted chilling hours, *T* is the actual temperature imposed on seedlings, and  $-3.4 \,^{\circ}$ C,  $3.5 \,^{\circ}$ C, and  $10.4 \,^{\circ}$ C are three threshold values for lower, optimum, and upper limits of effective chilling temperatures. Because of the possible negative effect of high temperatures on chilling effectiveness (Young 1992; Arora et al. 2003), chilling was accumulated from October 1 to the time of transfer to the greenhouses. Similarly, possible chilling in the greenhouses under cooler night temperature (5–10 °C for 10 h) was not considered. For the forcing experiment with outdoor seedlings, chilling was accumulated until the end of April when outdoor daily temperature was generally below 15 °C. As eastern white pine started budburst in late April, its chilling accumulation to the time of budburst was calculated for individual trees.

The cumulative forcing was calculated as temperature sum (cumulative growing degree hours above 0 °C; see Man and Lu 2010) including forcing temperatures in the greenhouses and the outdoor conditions between January 1 and the time seedlings were brought into the greenhouses (Fig. 1).

The relationship between chilling and forcing for budburst was investigated by fitting a 4-parameter sigmoid curve:

$$y = y_0 + \frac{a}{1 + e^{\left(-\frac{x - x_0}{b}\right)}}$$
(2)

where y is the cumulative forcing for budburst, x is the total chilling received (i.e., cumulative weighted chilling hours), e is the base of natural logarithm, and  $y_0$ , a,  $x_0$ , and b are the model parameters. The parameter  $y_0$  is the forcing requirement for budburst, and  $x_0$ and b determine the pattern of chilling completion, i.e., when and how fast chilling completes (Fig. 2). We followed the method by Man et al. (2017) and Hannerz et al. (2003) to determine chilling requirement, which was set as  $1.05*y_0$ , a point slightly before the lowest



**Fig. 2** Sigmoid curves fitted with 16 winter chilling (cumulative weighted chilling hours since October 1) and cumulative forcing for budburst (growth initiation) (cumulative growing degree hours >0 °C) conducted sequentially from October 1 (left, greenhouses) to May (right, experiment 15 for outdoor conditions is second point from the right) for six tree species (**a**–**f**). Experiment 16 (first point from the right) received additional chilling at artificial settings (2–4 °C for 15 days) relative to that of experiment 14 (third point from the right)

value of the fitted curves (Fig. 2). The 3-parameter exponential decay curve used by Cannell and Smith (1983) and Hannerz et al. (2003) is not flexible enough to fit chilling–forcing patterns for different species (Man et al. 2017).

#### **Data analysis**

Cumulative forcing for budburst and tissue growth (30 days after budburst and year end) were subjected to a 2-way analysis of variance based on the linear model:

$$y_{ijkl} = \mu + E_i + R_{j(i)} + S_k + ES_{ik} + \varepsilon_{ijkl}$$

where  $y_{ijkl}$  is the observation of the l-th seedling of the k-th species tested in the j-th replication of the i-th experiment;  $\mu$  is the overall mean;  $E_i$  is the i-th experiment;  $R_{j(i)}$  is the j-th replication within the i-th experiment;  $S_k$  is the k-th species;  $ES_{ik}$  is the interaction between i-th experiment and k-th species; and  $\varepsilon_{ijkl}$  is the residual. One-way ANOVA was performed to test differences among tree species in the chilling and forcing requirements determined from chilling–forcing relationships by tree species and replication (individual greenhouses). Multiple contrasts were conducted to examine differences in cumulative forcing for budburst among forcing experiments within a species or among species within an experiment, in chilling and forcing requirements among species, and in tissue growth within a species. The p-values were corrected using Tukey's method in SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc. 2012).

# Results

## **Chilling and forcing requirements**

Based on analysis of variance, both forcing experiments (i.e., timing) and tree species significantly affected cumulative forcing needed for budburst (p < 0.001 for forcing experiments, species, and experiment by species interaction). The cumulative forcing needed for budburst decreased progressively with experiment start time following sigmoid curves ( $R^2 > 0.98$  for all species) (Fig. 2). Among species, the change in cumulative forcing with forcing experiments became insignificant over time, occurring first for eastern larch (by December 2) and last for yellow birch (by February 14) (Table 1). While eastern white pine generally required the least cumulative forcing for budburst, the species requiring the highest cumulative forcing shifted from eastern white cedar for forcing experiments in October to red spruce for forcing experiments after January (Fig. 2; Table 1).

The species ranking for chilling requirements determined from chilling–forcing relationships from highest to lowest was yellow birch, eastern larch, eastern white pine, red pine, red spruce, and eastern white cedar. The species rank for forcing requirements, again from highest to lowest, was red spruce, eastern white cedar, red pine, eastern larch, eastern white pine, and yellow birch (Fig. 2; Table 3).

#### Effects of insufficient chilling

A minor deficiency in chilling (i.e., between chilling requirements and 1000 cumulative weighted chilling hours or forcing experiments after mid-March) only slightly delayed budburst (greater cumulative forcing) in the six temperate species (Fig. 2; Table 1), without compromising budburst quality and subsequent growth (Figs. 3, 4). The severe reduction in chilling, however, not only substantially increased cumulative forcing and inter-tree variation (Fig. 2; Table 1), but also increased the number of seedlings with abnormally flushed and unflushed buds and reduced subsequent shoot/leaf expansion (Figs. 3, 4). For example, forcing experiments that started before December, when cumulative chilling was <700 weighted chilling hours, produced abnormal budburst including early and erratic budburst in lower branches and on lower stems in yellow birch and eastern larch. In

e ,			, <b>1</b>			
Species	а	b	<i>x</i> <sub>0</sub>	<i>y</i> <sub>0</sub>	Ym	Chill
Yellow birch	88,151	-263	332	1311c	70,012	2225a
Eastern larch	199,142	-248	-297	2769c	48,971	1507b
Eastern white pine	29,274	-165	429	1438c	28,699	1418b
Red pine	113,800	-221	17	5175b	64,282	1364b
Red spruce	59,227	-176	430	10771a	65,260	1256bc
Eastern white cedar	80,365	-124	376	5742b	82,467	1072c

**Table 3** Parameter estimates for a 4-parameter sigmoidal curve (*a*, *b*, *x*<sub>0</sub>, and *y*<sub>0</sub>) illustrating the relationship between winter chilling (cumulative weighted chilling hours) and cumulative forcing (cumulative growing degree hours > 0 °C) for the budburst (growth initiation) of the six tree species examined

ANOVA statistical difference < 0.001

The parameter Ym is the cumulative forcing with zero chilling,  $y_0$  is the forcing requirement when chilling requirement is met, and Chill is the chilling requirement determined at  $1.05*y_0$ 



**Fig. 3** The relationships between winter chilling (cumulative weighted chilling hours since October 1) and budburst (growth initiation) quality (percent abnormally flushed and unflushed seedlings by May 31) from 16 forcing experiments conducted sequentially from October 1 (left, greenhouses) to May (right, experiment 15 for outdoor conditions is second point from the right) for six tree species (**a**–**f**). Experiment 16 (first point from the right) received additional chilling at artificial settings (2–4 °C for 15 days) relative to that of experiment 14 (third point from the right)



**Fig. 4** The relationships between winter chilling (cumulative weighted chilling hours since October 1) and new growth (least square means  $\pm$  SE) from 16 forcing experiments conducted sequentially from October 1 (left, greenhouses) to May (right, experiment 15 for outdoor conditions is second point from the right) for six tree species (**a**–**f**). Experiment 16 (first point from the right) received additional chilling at artificial settings (2–4 °C for 15 days) relative to that of experiment 14 (third point from the right). Eastern larch growth was evaluated on needles within a month of budburst and on shoots at year end

evergreen conifer species, extreme chilling deficiency constrained bud expansion, shortened the length of new needles, and promoted early burst of lateral buds in lower branches. More seriously, some eastern white cedar that received <400 weighted chilling hours did not grow after 7 months of optimal growing conditions in the greenhouse (Fig. 3). All eastern white cedar eventually grew under outdoor conditions. However, the patterns of new growth observed at 30 days after budburst remained at year end for all species (Fig. 4).

### Discussion

Our results indicate that winter chilling is critical for normal budburst and growth of the six Ontario temperate forest tree species studied, which is consistent with other's findings for temperate tree species (Calmé et al. 1995; Arora et al. 2003; Koo et al. 2014; Harrington and Gould 2015). The estimated chilling requirements were generally in the range of 1000–1500 weighted chilling hours, which occurred after late March (Fig. 1; see also Man et al. (2017) for 2014–2015 winter). These requirements were slightly higher than those found for boreal tree species, which were generally less than 1000 weighted chilling hours and were met as early as November (Man et al. 2017). This finding is consistent with the general trend that southern species or populations require more chilling to break dormancy (Hannerz et al 2003; Howe et al. 2003; Guy 2014). Although budburst quality and subsequent growth of the study species are likely not affected by inadequate winter chilling under the current and a likely future climate by the end of this century (Table 2), some effects resulting from minor deficiency in winter chilling, shown as delayed budburst (Fig. 2; Table 1), may occur in some species (Table 3) and years (Table 2). The forcing requirements of the six temperate tree species, however, appeared to be more diverse, and, along with their greater chilling requirements, would result in greater phenological variations among trees and years. Overall, the sigmoid curve provided a better fit for chilling-forcing relationships for Ontario's temperate trees species than the exponential decay curve fitted for Ontario's boreal tree species, i.e., trembling aspen (Populus tremuloides) and balsam poplar (*Populus balsamifera*) based on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and general data trends (Man et al. 2017).

The effects of insufficient chilling are comparable to the findings published for boreal and temperate tree species, i.e., insufficient chilling delays budburst (Cannell and Smith 1983, 1986; Myking and Heide 1995; Heide 2003; Morin et al. 2009; Laube et al. 2014; Fu et al. 2015a, b; Man et al. 2017) but does not cause abnormalities unless chilling hours are too few (Arora et al. 2003; Harrington et al. 2010; Man et al. 2017). Specific information about the six studied species is scarce but generally supports our findings. Berry (1965) showed that eastern white pine broke buds rapidly after 9 weeks at 4.4 °C (1314 weighted chilling hours based on Sarvas Chilling Rate Model), but slowly and abnormally (laterals started earlier than terminals) after 4 and 6 weeks (600–900 weighted chilling hours) and did not break buds after 2 weeks (300 weighted chilling hours), while western white pine (*Pinus monticola*) required at least 14 weeks at 4.4 °C to achieve maximum shoot growth (Steinhoff and Hoff 1972). Similarly, Gustafson (1938) noticed that growth of red pine not exposed to winter chilling was very restricted in the subsequent summer.

As found on boreal trees in Canada (Man et al. 2017), species with low forcing requirements (early flushing) often have relatively high chilling requirements (i.e., yellow birch, eastern white pine, and eastern larch), contrary to some early suggestions that early flushing species tend to have low chilling requirements (Laube et al. 2014; Asse et al. 2018; Fu et al. 2019). The phenological combination of low chilling with high forcing or high chilling with low forcing helps maximize growth with low risk of frost damage. In contrast, the combination of low chilling with low forcing or high chilling with high forcing is adaptively disadvantageous, resulting in either increasing vulnerability to frost or missed opportunity for growth (Guy 2014; Man et al. 2017). Among the six species in our study, yellow birch had the highest chilling requirement, confirming findings by Man et al. (2017) for boreal tree species that early flushing deciduous species need more chilling than late flushing evergreen conifers and that inadequate winter chilling would be more detrimental to deciduous species. The differences in chilling–forcing relationships among species suggest that the chronological order of budburst among tree species (early vs. late flushing species) may change with level of winter chilling among years and with climate warming (Laube et al. 2014; Roberts et al. 2015).

The Ontario temperate forest region is characterized by long and cold winters where temperatures are generally below the range of effective chilling temperatures from late December to early March (Fig. 1). The increases in temperatures from climate warming increase the contribution to winter chilling from these freezing months (Table 2) (Harrington and Gould 2015; Man et al. 2017). Consequently, future winter chilling will likely be sufficient to meet the requirements of the study species such that budburst would happen earlier with warming temperatures, as has been observed elsewhere (Heide 1993; Chmielewski and Rötzer 2001; Schwartz et al. 2006; Morin et al. 2009; Beaubien and Hamann 2011; Chen et al. 2018). The decelerated or reversed trends of advanced budburst observed in temperate areas with mild winters (Zhang et al. 2007; Morin et al. 2009; Yu et al. 2010; Fu et al. 2015a, b; Asse et al. 2018) are not likely to occur in Ontario temperate forest region.

Acknowledgements We thank Darren Derbowka, Kevin Maloney, Mya Rice, and John Schnare of the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (MNRF) and Xiuli Chu of Research Institute of Subtropical Forestry, Chinese Academy of Forestry, for their assistance during the study. Lisa Buse, MNRF, and two anonymous reviewers provided constructive comments on an earlier version of this manuscript. The tree seeds used in this study were provided by the former Ontario Tree Seed Plant at Angus, Ontario. This research was supported by MNRF climate project funding.

# References

- Arora J, Rowland LJ, Tanino K (2003) Induction and release of bud dormancy in woody perennials: a science comes of age. HortScience 38:911–921
- Asse D, Chuine I, Vitasse Y et al (2018) Warmer winters reduce the advance of tree spring phenology induced by warmer springs in the Alps. Agric For Meteorol 252:220–230
- Beaubien E, Hamann A (2011) Spring flowering response to climate change between 1936 and 2006 in Alberta, Canada. Bioscience 61:514–524
- Berry CR (1965) Breaking dormancy in eastern white pine by cold and light. US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Research Note Note SE-45
- Burns RM, Honkala BH (tech coords) (1990) Silvics of North America. US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Agriculture Handbook 654
- Calmé S, Bigras FJ, Margolis HA et al (1995) Frost tolerance and bud dormancy of container-grown yellow birch, red oak and sugar maple seedlings. Tree Physiol 14:1313–1325
- Cannell MGR, Smith RI (1983) Thermal time, chill days and prediction of budburst in *Picea sitchensis*. J Appl Ecol 20:951–963
- Cannell MGR, Smith RI (1986) Climatic warming, spring budburst and forest damage on trees. J Appl Ecol 23:177–191
- Chen L, Huang JG, Ma Q et al (2018) Spring phenology at different altitudes is becoming more uniform under global warming in Europe. Glob Change Biol 24:3969–3975

- Chmielewski FM, Rötzer T (2001) Response of tree phenology to climate change across Europe. Agric For Meteorol 108:101–112
- Chuine I, Beaubien EG (2001) Phenology is a major determinant of tree species range. Ecol Lett 4:500-510
- Fu YH, Zhao H, Piao S et al (2015a) Declining global warming effects on the phenology of spring leaf unfolding. Nature 526:104–107
- Fu YH, Piao S, Vitasse Y et al (2015b) Increased heat requirement for leaf flushing in temperate woody species over 1980–2012: effects of chilling, precipitation and insolation. Glob Change Biol 21:2687–2697
- Fu YH, Piao S, Zhou X et al (2019) Short photoperiod reduces the temperature sensitivity of leaf-out in saplings of *Fagus sylvatica* but not in horse chestnut. Glob Change Biol 25:1696–1703
- Guak S, Neilsen D (2013) Chill unit models for predicting dormancy completion of floral buds in apple and sweet cherry. Hortic Environ Biotechnol 54:29–36
- Guo L, Dai J, Wang M et al (2015) Responses of spring phenology in temperate zone trees to climate warming: a case study of apricot flowering in China. Agric For Meteorol 201:1–17
- Gustafson FG (1938) Influence of the length of day on the dormancy of tree seedlings. Plant Physiol 13:655–658
- Guy RD (2014) Commentary: the early bud gets to warm. New Phytol 202:7-9
- Hannerz M, Ekberg I, Norell L (2003) Variation in chilling requirements for completing bud rest between provenances of Norway spruce. Silvae Genet 52:161–168
- Hänninen H (1990) Modelling bud dormancy release in trees from cool and temperate regions. Acta For Fenn 213:1–47
- Harrington CA, Gould PJ (2015) Tradeoffs between chilling and forcing in satisfying dormancy requirements for Pacific Northwest tree species. Front Plant Sci 6:120
- Harrington CA, Gould PJ, St. Clair JB (2010) Modeling the effects of winter environment on dormancy release of Douglas-fir. For Ecol Manage 259:798–808
- Heide OM (1993) Daylength and thermal time responses of budburst during dormancy release in some northern deciduous trees. Physiol Plant 88:531–540
- Howe GT, Aitken SN, Neale DB et al (2003) From genotype to phenotype: unraveling the complexities of cold adaptation in forest trees. Can J Bot 81:1247–1266
- IPCC (2013) IPCC fifth assessment report: climate change 2013 (AR5). https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/ uploads/2018/02/WG1AR5\_all\_final.pdf. Accessed 23 Aug 2019
- Koo AK, Patten BC, Teskey RO et al (2014) Climate change effects on red spruce decline mitigated by reduction in air pollution within its shrinking habitat range. Ecol Model 293:81–90
- Kramer K (1994) A modelling analysis of the effects of climatic warming on the probability of spring frost damage to tree species in The Netherlands and Germany. Plant Cell Environ 17:367–377
- Laube J, Sparks TH, Estrella N et al (2014) Chilling outweighs photoperiod in preventing precocious spring development. Glob Chang Biol 20:170–182
- Man R, Lu P (2010) Effects of thermal model and base temperature on estimates of thermal time to bud break in white spruce seedlings. Can J For Res 40:1815–1820
- Man R, Lu P, Dang QL (2017) Insufficient chilling effects vary among boreal tree species and chilling duration. Front Plant Sci 8:1354
- Morin X, Lechowicz MJ, Augspurger C et al (2009) Leaf phenology in 22 American tree species during the 21st century. Glob Chang Biol 15:961–975
- Myking T, Heide OM (1995) Dormancy release and chilling requirement of buds of latitudinal ecotypes of *Betula pendula* and *B. pubescens*. Tree Physiol 15:697–704
- OMNR (1998a) A silvicultural guide for the Great Lakes-St. Lawrence conifer forest in Ontario. Ont. Min. Nat. Resour. Queen's Printer for Ontario. Toronto
- OMNR (1998b) A silvicultural guide for the tolerant hardwood forest in Ontario. Ont. Min. Nat. Resour. Queen's Printer for Ontario. Toronto
- Polgar CA, Primack RB (2011) Leaf-out phenology of temperate woody plants: from trees to ecosystems. New Phytol 191:926–941
- Richardson AD, Anderson RS, Arain MA et al (2012) Terrestrial biosphere models need better representation of vegetation phenology: results from the North American Carbon Program Site Synthesis. Glob Change Biol 18:566–584
- Roberts AMI, Tansey C, Smithers RJ et al (2015) Predicting a change in the order of spring phenology in temperate forests. Glob Change Biol 21:2603–2611
- Rowe JS (1972) Forest Regions of Canada. Canadian Forest Service, Ottawa, Ontario Publication No. 1300

Sarvas R (1974) Investigations on the annual cycle of development of forest trees. II. Autumn dormancy and winter dormancy. Commun Inst For Fenn 84:1–101

Schwartz MD, Ahas R, Aasa A (2006) Onset of spring starting earlier across the Northern Hemisphere. Glob Change Biol 12:343–351

- Steinhoff RJ, Hoff RJ (1972) Chilling requirements for breaking dormancy of western white pine seedlings. US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Research Note INT-153
- Young E (1992) Timing of high temperature influences chilling negation in dormant apple trees. J Am Soc Hortic Sci 117:271–273
- Yu H, Luedeling E, Xu J (2010) Winter and spring warming result in delayed spring phenology on the Tibetan Plateau. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 107:22151–22156
- Zhang X, Tarpley D, Sullivan JT (2007) Diverse responses of vegetation phenology to a warming climate. Geophys Res Lett 34:L19405

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.