

Research Article CO₂ stimulation and response mechanisms vary with light supply in boreal conifers

Qing-Lai Dang^{1,*,•}, Jacob Marfo¹, Fengguo Du², Rongzhou Man³ and Sahari Inoue¹

¹Faculty of Natural Resources Management, Lakehead University, ON P7B 5E1, Canada, ²Forestry College, Beihua University, Jilin 132013, China, ³Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, Ontario Forest Research Institute, ON P6A 2E5, Canada

*Corresponding author. E-mail: qdang@lakeheadu.ca

Handling Editor: Dafeng Hui

Received: 17 May 2020, First Decision: 4 July 2020, Accepted: 5 November 2020, Online Publication: 24 November 2020

Abstract

Aims Black spruce (*Picea mariana* [Mill.] B.S.P.) and white spruce (*Picea glauca* [Moench] Voss.) are congeneric species. Both are moderately shade tolerant and widely distributed across North American boreal forests.

Methods To understand light effects on their ecophysiological responses to elevated CO_2 , 1-year-old seedlings were exposed to 360 µmol mol⁻¹ and 720 µmol mol⁻¹ CO_2 at three light conditions (100%, 50% and 30% of full light in the greenhouse). Foliar gas exchanges were measured in the mid- and late-growing season.

Important Findings Elevated CO₂ increased net photosynthesis (P_n) and photosynthetic water use efficiency, but it reduced stomatal conductance and transpiration. The stimulation of photosynthesis by elevated CO₂ was greatest at 50% light and smallest at 100%. Photosynthesis, maximum carboxylation rate (V_{cmax}) and light-saturated rate of electron transport (J_{max}) all decreased with decreasing light. Elevated CO₂ significantly reduced V_{cmax} across all light treatments and both species in mid-growing season. However, the effect of elevated CO₂ became insignificant at 30% light later in the growing season, with the response being greater in black spruce than in white spruce. Elevated CO₂ also reduced J_{max} in white spruce in both measurements while the effect became insignificant at 30% light later in the growing season. However, the effect on black spruce varied with time. Elevated CO₂ reduced J_{max} in black spruce in mid-growing season in all light treatments and the effect became insignificant at 30% light later in the growing season, while it increased J_{max} later in the season at 100% and 50% light. These results suggest that both species benefited from elevated CO₂, and that the responses varied with light supply, such that the response was primarily physiological at 100% and 50% light, while it was primarily morphological at 30% light.

Keywords: black and white spruce, boreal forest, CO₂–light–species interaction, ecophysiological response, photosynthesis, respiration, water use efficiency

北方针叶树CO。的刺激和响应机制随光强而变化

摘要:黑云杉(*Piceamariana* [Mill.] B.S.P.)和白云杉(*Piceaglauca* [Moench] Voss.)是同属物种,两者都是适度耐阴,并且在北美北方针叶林中广泛分布。为了了解光照对CO₂浓度升高的生理生态反应的影响,在三种光照条件下(温室中光照设置为100%、50%和30%)将一年生的两种幼苗暴露在360和720µmolmol⁻¹浓度的CO₂环境中,测定了其中后期叶面气体交换量。研究结果表明,CO₂的浓度升高提高了净光合速率

© The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences and the Botanical Society of China. All rights reserved. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com (*P*_n)和光合水分利用效率,但降低了气孔导度和蒸腾作用。CO₂对光合作用的刺激在50%光照下最大, 在100%光照下最小。光合作用、最大羧化速率(*V*_{cmax})和光饱和电子传递速率(*J*_{max})均随光照强度的 降低而降低。升高的CO₂在所有光照处理中显著降低了*V*_{cmax},在生长季节中期,两种云杉的*V*_{cmax}均显著 降低,但在生长季节后期,当光照达到30%时,这一影响变得不明显,而且黑云杉的响应大于白云杉。CO₂ 浓度升高也降低了白云杉的*J*_{max},但在生长季后期30%光照时,这种影响变得不显著。但CO₂浓度升高对 黑云杉的影响随时间而变化。在所有光照处理中,CO₂浓度升高降低了黑云杉生长中期的*J*_{max},且在生长后 期30%光照时影响不显著,但在100%和50%光照时,*J*_{max}升高。这些研究结果表明,两个树种植物都受 益于CO₂ 浓度的升高,但它们的响应机制随着光照的增加而变化:即在100%和50%光照下,它们的响 应主要是生理上的,而在30%光照下,它们的响应主要是形态上的。

关键词:黑云杉,白云杉,北方针叶林,CO₂-光-物种相互作用,生理生态响应,光合作用,呼吸作用,水利用效率

INTRODUCTION

The continuous increase of atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration (CO₂) from the pre-industrial revolution (IPCC 2019) is expected to have profound impacts on the physiology, growth and geographic distribution of plants (Curtis and Wang 1998; Ward and Strain 1999). Elevated CO₂ concentration enhances photosynthesis and biomass production at least in the short term (Ainsworth and Long 2005; Bigras and Bertrand 2006; Huang et al. 2007; Zhang and Dang 2005, 2006, 2007; Zhang et al. 2006), due to the stimulation to Rubisco carboxylation and suppression of photorespiration (Bigras and Bertrand 2006; Drake et al. 1997; Lambers and Oliveira 2019; Osborne et al. 1997). Elevated CO, is also known to lower stomatal conductance and transpiration and therefore improve water and light use efficiencies (Drake et al. 1997; Körner 2000), along with possible reduction in dark respiration during photosynthesis and increase in the quantum yield of photosynthesis (Hymus et al. 2001; Saxe et al. 1998).

Photosynthetic enhancement by CO₂ elevation is particularly important to plants under low light conditions where maintaining a positive carbon balance is critical to survival and growth (Körner 2006; Messier *et al.* 1999; Saxe *et al.* 1998). Plants tend to lower the light compensation point of photosynthesis and increase light use efficiency in acclimation to a low light environment (Danyagri and Dang 2013; Herrick and Thomos 1999; Körner 2006; Long and Drake 1991; Man and Lieffers 1997; Ward and Strain 1999), particularly in shade tolerant species (Kubiske and Pregitzer 1996). Similar responses can also be triggered by CO₂ elevations (Kerstiens 1998; Osborne *et al.* 1997). However, the interactive effects of light and CO₂ on the photosynthesis of trees are largely

unknown because past studies on CO, elevation are conducted on sun-acclimated trees/foliage, but a good understanding of such interactive effects are important for several reasons. Firstly, many tree species, particularly shade tolerant species, regenerate under the exiting canopy of large trees. The light level in the forest profile declines from the top of the canopy to the forest floor. In other words, the light level is the lowest for seedlings and increases as the trees grow taller. Therefore, the effects of lights on the physiological responses of seedlings to CO₂ elevation can be critically important for understanding forest regeneration in the future when the atmospheric CO₂ concentration will be much higher. Secondly, any differential effects of light on the responses of tree species with different shade tolerance to CO, elevation can differentially affect the regeneration potential of those species and affect the trajectory of forest succession and the species composition of the future forest. The literature indicates that CO₂ elevations can differentially affect plant species of different shade tolerance (Leakey et al. 2002) and the differential responses can lead to changes in the species composition and structure of plant communities (Bolker et al. 1995; Kerstiens 1998). However, there is no information in the literature on how different light levels affect the photosynthetic responses of tree species with different shade tolerance to CO₂ elevations. Thirdly, the knowledge of light effects on the responses of trees to CO₂ elevation can provide insights on how the response of trees to CO₂ elevation may change with developmental stages because light levels increase as trees grow taller and trees of different heights are exposed to different light conditions.

Black spruce and white spruce are economically important species in the boreal forest (Nienstaedt

and Zasada 1990; Viereck and Johnston 1990). They can form pure stands or mix with each other or with other species (Viereck and Johnston 1990). Being congeneric, the two species have similar growth and morphological characteristics (Dudley 1996). While both species can tolerate certain levels of shade and regenerate under a forest canopy (Nienstaedt and Zasada 1990; Viereck and Johnston 1990), there are differences in low light thresholds at which they can realize their photosynthetic potentials. White spruce can reach maximum photosynthesis (Man and Lieffers 1997) and height growth (Lieffers and Stadt 1994) at 40%-60% of full sunlight, whereas black spruce can reach photosynthetic light saturation at 25%-50% full sunlight (Grossnickle 2000; Lamhamedi and Bernier 1994). Therefore, black spruce is more shade tolerant than white spruce. Given their differences in shade tolerance and the influence of shade tolerance on responses to CO₂, CO₂ elevations could differentially affect the relative performance of the two species. Our previous study shows that the relative enhancement of total biomass by CO, elevation increased with decreasing light level and was greater in black spruce than in white spruce (Marfo and Dang 2009). The greater biomass enhancement at lower light may be attributable to relatively greater enhancement to photosynthesis (Idso et al. 1993; Lambers and Oliveira 2019) and increased photosynthetic light use efficiency (Leakey et al. 2002; Saxe et al. 1998), and/or reduced respiration during photosynthesis (Lambers and Oliveira 2019; Teskey and Shrestha 1985). In this study, we examine the physiological responses of black spruce and white spruce to CO₂ elevation under different light conditions and test the hypothesis that CO₂ elevation will be more beneficial to trees growing under lower light conditions and to more shade tolerant species. The maximum Rubisco carboxylation rate (V_{cmax}) and maximum electron transport rate (J_{max}) are two important photosynthetic parameters that are used in process-based global vegetation models and ecosystem models to predict interactions between biosphere and atmosphere but information on the interactive effects of light, CO, and shade tolerance on those important parameters is lacking in the literature. The results on such interactive effects from this study will likely help to improve the precision of those models in projecting the potential interactions between biosphere and atmosphere under the predicted future climatic conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials

One-year-old black spruce (*Picea mariana* [Mill.] B.S.P.) and white spruce (*Picea glauca* [Moench] Voss.) were obtained from Boreal Tree Nursery (Thunder Bay, ON, Canada). The seedlings were selected such that they were relatively uniform in size (13 cm high) and form at the beginning of the experiment. The seedlings were planted in circular pots of 13.5 cm tall and 11 cm top diameter. The growing medium was a mixture (1/1, v/v) of premium grade peat moss and vermiculite.

Experimental design

The experiment was a split-plot design with the CO₂ concentration as the whole plot (360 µmol mol⁻¹ and 720 μ mol mol⁻¹), light as the sub-plot (100%, 50%) and 30% of the light in the greenhouse) and the two species was nested within the light–CO₂ combination. There were 8 seedlings per treatment combination. The study was conducted in four greenhouses with identical design at the Lakehead University Thunder Bay campus. CO₂ was elevated generally using GEN-2E CO, generators (Custom Automated Products Inc., Riverside, CA) but compressed CO, cylinders were used on hot days to eliminate the effect of CO, generation on temperature. Neutral density shade screens were used to provide 50% and 70% shade, or a 50% and 30% light. Other environmental conditions in the greenhouses were 16 h photoperiod, $55\% \pm 5\%$ relative humidity (RH) and day/night temperature 25 ± 2 and 15 ± 2 °C, respectively. The natural light was supplemented on cloudy days, early mornings and late evenings using high-pressure sodium lamps. An Argus Classic Environment control system (Argus, Vancouver, BC, Canada) was used to monitor and control all the environmental conditions. The pots were watered when the volumetric water content dropped to 30% as determined using an HH2 Moisture Meter and ML2X ThetaProbe (Delta-T Devices, Cambridge, UK). All seedlings were fertilized weekly with a solution of 150 mg/L N, 60 mg/L P, 150 mg/L K, 40 mg/L Mg, 80 mg/L Ca and 60 mg/L S.

Gas exchange measurement

Foliar gas exchange was measured using a PP-Systems Ciras-1 open gas exchange system and a Parkinson leaf cuvette for conifers with an environment control system (PP-Systems, Amesbury, MA, USA). The environmental conditions in the cuvette were controlled at 25 °C temperature, 50% RH and 800 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ PAR flux density (above the light saturation points for the two species). $A-C_i$ curves (CO₂ assimilation rate A vs. substomatal CO₂ concentration C_i) were measured at 50, 150, 250, 360, 450, 550, 700, 900 and 1100 µmol mol⁻¹ CO₂. Test measurements showed that gas exchange measurements were relatively stable between 0900 h and 1500 h and therefore all measurements were taken during this time period to avoid the influence of diurnal variations. Three trees from each treatment combination were measured at their treatment locations. Measurements were taken on current year needles near the apex of the seedling after 2.5 and 4.5 months of treatments. Gas exchange parameters were expressed on a projected leaf area basis. Leaf area was measured using a Regent Winseedle System (Regent Instruments, Québec City, Canada). Net photosynthetic rate (*P*₂), transpiration rate (E) and stomatal conductance (g_s) at treatment CO₂ were estimated from the $A-C_i$ curve. Instantaneous water use efficiency (IWUE) was calculated as the ratio of P_p/E . The maximum carboxylation rate of Rubisco (V_{cmax}) and light-saturated electron transport rate (J_{max}) were calculated using the Plantecophys package of R 4.0.2.

Statistical analysis

The data were analysed using the ANOVA function of R 4.0.2 for split-plot design. Multiple comparisons were conducted using the LSD function of R 4.0.2 when an interaction or light effect was significant (P < 0.05).

RESULTS

Net photosynthetic rate (P_n) increased with CO_2 concentration and light levels in both measurements and the responses were similar between the two species (Tables 1 and 2; Fig. 1a and b). The degree of the CO_2 enhancement varied with light treatment in the measurement after 2.5 months of treatments (Fig. 1a), but the interactive effect became non-significant after 4.5 months of treatment (Fig. 1b). The average CO_2 stimulation of photosynthesis was the highest under 50% light (81% for black spruce, 92% for white spruce) and lowest under full light (black spruce 29%, white spruce 53%) (Table 3).

The CO_2 elevation significantly decreased transpiration (*E*) but substantially increased the photosynthetic water use efficiency (IWUE) and the effect on IWUE was influenced by light level (Tables 1 and 2; Fig. 1c–f). The positive effect of CO_2 elevation on IWUE was largest at 50% light and smallest at 100% light, a similar trend as photosynthesis (Table 3). Both *E* and IWUE generally decreased with decreasing light (Fig. 1c–f).

There were significant interactive effects on g_s among CO₂, light and species after both 2.5 and 4.5 months of treatments (Tables 1 and 2). g_s was generally lower under elevated than ambient CO₂ and lower in white spruce than in black spruce (Fig. 1e and g). Light generally did not significantly affect g_s in white spruce but it did affect it in black spruce and the effect varied with CO₂ treatment:

Table 1: ANOVA *P* values for effects of CO₂, light, species (Sp) and interactions on P_n (net photosynthesis), *E* (transpiration rate), g_s (stomatal conductance), IWUE (instantaneous water use efficiency), V_{cmax} (maximum carboxylation rate of Rubisco) and J_{max} (light-saturated electron transport rate)

Parameter	CO ₂	Light	$CO_2 \times light$	Sp	$CO_2 \times Sp$	Light × Sp	$CO_2 \times light \times Sp$
P _n	<0.0001	<0.0001	0.0347	0.1088	0.8521	0.2650	0.3176
Ε	0.0036	0.0001	0.5498	0.0505	0.7694	0.3683	0.8517
\mathcal{G}_{s}	0.0551	0.6140	0.3160	0.0035	0.5023	0.7260	0.0385
IWUE	0.0001	0.0008	0.0519	0.0321	0.8370	0.0824	0.4422
$V_{\rm cmax}$	0.0044	0.0479	0.3278	0.0633	0.0067	0.1814	0.6532
$J_{\rm max}$	0.0277	0.0279	0.2216	0.0021	0.0008	0.0029	0.0053

One-year-old black spruce and white spruce seedlings were grown at two CO_2 concentrations (360 µmol mol⁻¹ vs. 720 µmol mol⁻¹) and three light conditions (100%, 50% and 30% of the light in the greenhouse) for one growing season and the gas exchange was measured after 2.5 months of treatments. Significant effects are bold-faced.

Parameter	CO ₂	Light	$CO_2 \times light$	Sp	$CO_2 \times Sp$	Light × Sp	$CO_2 \times light \times Sp$
P _n	0.0001	0.0001	0.2107	0.0541	0.9101	0.8622	0.3484
Ε	0.0094	0.0001	0.1153	0.2988	0.1894	0.5794	0.8014
\mathcal{G}_{s}	0.0405	0.6540	0.3530	0.0064	0.9443	0.2426	0.0400
IWUE	0.0001	0.0007	0.0427	0.1148	0.3807	0.4341	0.5140
$V_{\rm cmax}$	0.7155	0.0244	0.5090	0.5541	0.0085	0.8055	0.0438
$J_{\rm max}$	0.0500	0.0139	0.1749	0.9438	0.4434	0.9055	0.0517

One-year-old black spruce and white spruce seedlings were grown at two CO_2 concentrations (360 µmol mol⁻¹ vs. 720 µmol mol⁻¹) and three light conditions (100%, 50% and 30% of the light in the greenhouse) for one growing season and the gas exchange was measured after 4.5 months of treatments. Significant effects are bold-faced.

 g_s was highest under full light at ambient CO₂ but highest under 50% light elevated CO₂ (Fig. 1e and g).

The CO, elevation significantly reduced the maximum rate of Rubisco carboxylation (V_{cmax}) after 2.5 months of treatment (Table 1). The magnitude of the downregulation increased with decreasing light levels, for instance, the changes for black spruce were -37%, -41% and -67%, respectively, for the light treatments of 100%, 50% and 30% (Fig. 2a) and the downregulation was greater for black spruce than for white spruce (average of 3 light levels: -48% vs. -24%). $V_{\rm cmax}$ generally decreased with decreasing light (Fig. 2a). The CO₂ elevation also significantly reduced $V_{\rm cmax}$ after 4.5 months of treatment under 100% and 50% (Table 2; Fig. 2b) and the degree of downregulation decreased with decreasing light (black spruce: -22% and -8% at 100% and 50% light, respectively; white spruce: -36% and -12% at 100% and 50% light, respectively). Again $V_{\rm cmax}$ decreased with decreasing light (Fig. 2b). CO₂ concentration did not significantly affect V_{cmax} at 30% light in either species after 4.5 months of treatment (Fig. 2b).

The CO₂ elevation significantly reduced the light-saturated rate of electron transport (J_{max}) after 2.5 months of treatment and the effect was the least at the moderate light among the three light treatments and greater in black spruce (-39%) than in white spruce (-12%) (Table 1; Fig. 2c). The elevated CO₂ also significantly decreased J_{max} in white spruce at 100% and 50% light after 4.5 months of treatment and the magnitude of the effect decreased with decreasing light levels (-48% and -18%, respectively, at 100% and 50% light), but had no significant effect at 30% light (Fig. 2d; Table 2). Elevated CO₂ also did

JOURNAL OF PLANT ECOLOGY | 2020, 14:291–300

not significantly affect J_{max} in black spruce at 30% but increased it at 100% and 50% light (Fig. 2d). J_{max} generally decreased with decreasing light in both measurements, both species and both CO₂ treatments (Fig. 2c and d).

DISCUSSION

the CO₂ elevation significantly increased carbohydrate production capacity of black spruce and white spruce and the response was influenced by light conditions. The CO₂ elevation in this study significantly affected all the physiological parameters in such a way that favours higher carbohydrate production. For example, it increased net photosynthetic rate (P_{n}) and photosynthetic water use efficiency (IWUE), and decreased stomatal conductance (g_s) and transpiration (E). However, the effects of increased IWUE and reduced transpiration on carbohydrate production were indirect, i.e. by reducing the water cost per unit of carbohydrate produced and alleviating the negative impact of low water supply and/or reducing the severity of water stress that the foliage experiences. While the effects observed in this study are generally consistent with the literature (Drake and Leadley 1991; Gunderson et al. 2002; Körner 2000; Naumburg et al. 2003; Rogers and Ellsworth 2002; Zhang and Dang 2005, 2006), the responses varied with light conditions and tree species, e.g. the CO₂ stimulation was greatest at the modest light level (50%) and least at the highest light (100%) among the three light treatments (Table 3). The net CO₂ stimulations of photosynthesis at 100%, 50% and 30% light were 29%, 81% and 65%, respectively, for black spruce,



Figure 1: Net photosynthesis (P_n , **a** and **b**), transpiration rate (E, **c** and **d**), stomatal conductance (g_s , **e** and **f**) and photosynthetic water use efficiency (IWUE, **g** and **h**) of black spruce (Sb) and white spruce (Sw). One-year-old seedlings were exposed to two CO₂ concentrations (360 µmol mol⁻¹ and 720 µmol mol⁻¹) and three light levels (100%, 50% and 30% of the light in the greenhouse) for one growing season in the greenhouse. A/C_i curves were measured after 2.5-month treatment and again after a further 2-month treatment). Note: *** $P \le 0.001$, ** $P \le 0.01$, ** $P \le 0.05$. Means with different letters are significantly different from each other at $P \le 0.05$. P_n and E under treatment CO₂ concentration were estimated from the A/C_i measurements.

Parameter Species 100% 50% 30% Mean Net effect :> or or > or			· / 1					
Net effect (*) on pivology P_n Sb29816558Sw53926570 E Sb-5-29-20-18Sw-2-15-14-10IWUESb31181106106Sw741289498 V_{cmax} Sb-30-24-33-20 J_{max} Sb-118-18-10 J_{max} Sb-118108-10TMSb7425133-11SM14445406155-11SM5b7073289144SW1347497186					Light level			
P_n Sb29816558Sw53926570 E Sb-5-29-20-18Sw-2-15-14-10IWUESb31181106106Sw741289498 V_{cmax} Sb-30-24-33-29 Sw -22-8-30-20 J_{max} Sb-118-18-17Sw-2422133-17TMSb7474252133SM1445406155SMSb7073289144Sw1347497186	Parameter	Species	100%	50%	30%	Mean		
Image: Normal strainSw53926570 E Sb -5 -29 -10 -18 Sw -2 -15 -14 -10 $IWUE$ Sb31 181 106 106 Sw 74 128 94 98 V_{cmax} Sb -30 -24 -33 -29 Sw -22 -8 -30 -20 J_{max} Sb -11 8 -18 -7 Sw -24 2 -28 -17 Net effect :> on biomasTMSb 74 74 252 133 SM $5b$ 70 73 289 144 Sw 13 47 497 186	Net effect (%) on phy						
E Sb -5 -29 -20 -18 Sw -2 -15 -14 -10 IWUESb 31 181 106 106 Sw 74 128 94 98 V_{cmax} Sb -30 -24 -33 -29 Sw -22 -8 -30 -20 J_{max} Sb -11 8 -18 -77 Sw -24 2 -8 -17 Sw -24 2 28 -17 TMSb 74 74 252 133 SMSb 70 73 289 144 Sw 13 47 497 186	P _n	Sb	29	81	65	58		
Sw -2 -15 -14 -10 IWUESb 31 181 106 106 Sw 74 128 94 98 V_{cmax} Sb -30 -24 -33 -29 J_{max} Sb -11 8 -18 -20 J_{max} Sb -11 8 -18 -77 Sw -24 25 -17 -17 TMSb 74 74 252 133 SM 54 70 73 289 144 Sw 13 47 497 186		Sw	53	92	65	70		
IWUESb31181106106Sw741289498 V_{cmax} Sb-30-24-33-29Sw-22-8-30-20 J_{max} Sb-118-18-70Sw-242213-17TMSb7474252133SM5b7073289144Sw1347497186	Ε	Sb	-5	-29	-20	-18		
Sw741289498 V_{cmax} Sb-30-24-33-29Sw-22-8-30-20 J_{max} Sb-118-18-77Sw-242-28-17TMSb7474252133SM1445406155SMSb7073289144Sw1347497186		Sw	-2	-15	-14	-10		
V_{cmax} Sb -30 -24 -33 -29 Sw -22 -8 -30 -20 J_{max} Sb -11 8 -18 -7 Sw -24 2 -28 -17 Net effect (*) on biomedia TMSb7474252SMSb7073289144Sw1347497186	IWUE	Sb	31	181	106	106		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Sw	74	128	94	98		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$V_{_{\mathrm{cmax}}}$	Sb	-30	-24	-33	-29		
Imax Sw -24 2 -28 -17 Net effect (%) on biomass Imax Imax Imax Imax TM Sb 74 74 252 133 SW 14 45 406 155 SM Sb 70 73 289 144 Sw 13 47 497 186		Sw	-22	-8	-30	-20		
Net effect (>) on biowas TM Sb 74 252 133 Sw 14 45 406 155 SM Sb 70 73 289 144 Sw 13 47 497 186	$J_{\rm max}$	Sb	-11	8	-18	-7		
TM Sb 74 74 252 133 Sw 14 45 406 155 SM Sb 70 73 289 144 Sw 13 47 497 186		Sw	-24	2	-28	-17		
Sw1445406155SMSb7073289144Sw1347497186	Net effect (%) on biomass							
SM Sb 70 73 289 144 Sw 13 47 497 186	ТМ	Sb	74	74	252	133		
Sw 13 47 497 186		Sw	14	45	406	155		
	SM	Sb	70	73	289	144		
SMR Sb -1 -1 4 1		Sw	13	47	497	186		
	SMR	Sb	-1	-1	4	1		
Sw -1 1 8 3		Sw	-1	1	8	3		

Growth responses are calculated from Marfo and Dang (2009) for the purpose of discussion only. The values were averaged of measurements taken after 2.5 and 4.5 months of treatment. See Tables 1 and 2 for other explanations.

53%, 92% and 65%, respectively, for white spruce. The photosynthesis data support the hypothesis that CO_2 elevations will be more beneficial to trees growing under lower light conditions only when the data at 100% and 50% light are compared. A critical implication of the results is that light effect on CO_2 stimulation of photosynthesis depends on the specific light conditions. For instance, the comparison of the results at 100% and 50% would lead to the conclusion that the CO_2 stimulation of photosynthesis increased with decreasing light whereas the comparison of results between 50% and 30% light would lead to an opposite conclusion that CO_2 stimulation to

photosynthesis decreased with decreasing light. These results suggest that the primary response mechanism is determined by the primary limiting factor. For instance, light was presumably the primary limiting factor to photosynthesis in the 30% light treatment in this study and the improved photosynthetic carboxylation efficiency by elevated CO, permitted the trees to increase the total leaf area (Marfo and Dang 2009) so that more light could be captured and the morphological acclimation was the primary response mechanism. The shift in acclimation strategy in response to elevated CO₂ at different light conditions represents a key finding of this study for understanding the ecophysiological responses of trees to climate change. However, our findings also point to the danger of drawing general conclusions from experiments with limited levels of treatment without qualifying the conclusions. We need to be extremely careful when comparing the results of different studies, particularly where different light levels are used in different studies. We recommend that multiple levels of treatment be used and the interpretation of results should be focussed on the pattern of the response or response curve unless we are only interested in some specific levels of the treatment. Furthermore, our results indicate that the continued increases in atmospheric CO₂ will likely be more beneficial to seedlings and saplings of the two species growing under the partially shaded conditions under the forest canopy than those growing in the open field, effectively increasing the shade tolerance of the two species and promoting their understorey regeneration. Currently, tree planting following the clear-cutting of forest stands is a common practice in forest management. Our results suggest that regenerating the two species prior to the harvesting of the existing forest stands and allow the young trees to grow under the canopy of the existing trees will likely improve the growth of the new generation. Such a practice will effectively shorten the rotation of the forest and provide more favourable environmental conditions than the traditional clear-cutting and tree planting because the two generations of trees will share the growing space before the older trees are harvested.

The trend of light effect on CO_2 stimulation to photosynthesis differs from the trend of light effect on seedling biomass. In a previous study, Marfo and Dang (2009) observe that the increase of biomass by CO_2 elevation is more than 3-folds greater at 30% light than at 50% and 100% light (Table 3). Danyagri and Dang (2014a) also observe that mountain



Figure 2: Maximum rate of Rubisco carboxylation ($V_{\text{cmax}'}$ **a** and **b**) and light-saturated electron transport rate ($J_{\text{max}'}$ **c** and **d**) of black spruce (Sb) and white spruce (Sw). See Fig. 1 for more explanations.

maple, a shade tolerant tree species, benefits more from CO₂ elevation under lower light. However, the current study shows that the CO₂ stimulation to photosynthesis was highest at 50% light and lowest at 100% light. While it is intuitive that photosynthesis and growth/biomass should be positively correlated, photosynthetic rate expressed on a per unit leaf area or mass basis may not correlate with growth. Growth and biomass production are more influenced by carbon budget and biomass allocation than by photosynthetic rate (Lambers and Oliveira 2019). Photosynthesis is only one of the multiple physiological and morphological mechanisms that plants can use in responding to changes in the environment and the primary mechanism of response generally varies with the specific environment conditions and species. For instance, morphological adjustment is the primary mechanism of white birch in response to phosphorus availability under (Danyagri and Dang 2014b). Furthermore, growth is generally more closely correlated to the total photosynthesis of the tree than to photosynthetic rate per unit leaf area (Dang et al. 2020).

The finding that CO₂ stimulation to photosynthesis was greatest at the moderate light condition is surprising but provides a key piece of evidence that the two spruce species used different mechanisms in responding to different combinations of CO, and light conditions. Both elevated CO, and lower light can increase photosynthesis by reducing light compensation point and dark respiration (reduction in Rd is less common for elevated CO₂ than for lower light) and increasing light use efficiency (Körner 2006; Kubiske and Pregitzer 1996; Long and Drake 1991; Man and Lieffers 1997; Osborne et al. 1997; Walters and Reich 1999; Ward and Strain 1999). The results of this study suggest that the effects of elevated CO₂ and lower light can be synergistic within a certain range. Indeed, the CO₂ stimulation to photosynthesis was much greater at 50% light than at 100% light. However, our data suggest that the 30% light was too low to support a higher photosynthetic capacity and instead triggered the switching of response mechanism from physiology to morphology. Indeed, the specific leaf area of the two species at 30% light was more than double of those

at 50% and 100% and the differences are larger under the elevated than ambient CO_2 (Marfo and Dang 2009), indicating the species produced much thinner foliage under the very low light condition. Apparently, the switching from physiological to morphological response was very effective and led to much greater growth response to the CO_2 elevation at 30% light than at 50% and 100% light (Marfo and Dang 2009). The effects of elevated CO_2 and low light were synergistic at both 30% light and 50% light but the synergy was achieved via different response mechanisms (morphological *vs.* physiological).

Our results suggest that elevated CO₂-induced photosynthetic response could vary with light supply, species shade tolerance and time. While the elevated CO_{2} reduced both V_{cmax} and J_{max} in both species in mid-growing season in this study, the effect became insignificant in the lowest light treatment in later in the growing season. While the elevated CO₂-induced downregulation of $V_{\rm cmax}$ was greater in the more shade tolerant black spruce than white spruce, the downregulation of J_{max} was greater in white spruce than in black spruce (Table 3). In fact, the black spruce seedlings grown under 100% and 50% and elevated CO_2 increased J_{max} while white spruce decreased it (Fig. 2d). The opposite response of the two species and between $V_{\rm cmax}$ and $J_{\rm max}$ is intriguing. Generally, the photosynthetic mechanisms of more shade tolerant species are more prone to photodamages when they are exposed to high light and their electron transport capacity exceeds the carboxylation capacity (Lambers and Oliviera 2019). Thus, a low electron transport capacity and a higher capacity of other energy dissipation mechanisms should act to protect the photosynthetic machinery. However, when the condition becomes more favourable to carboxylation, such as under elevated CO_2 , a higher J_{max} is needed to re-balance the biochemical and photochemical reactions of photosynthesis. While this explanation is plausible for explaining our results, the responses of different energy dissipation mechanisms and the balance and re-balance of photosynthetic processes in the two species to elevated CO, need to be investigated. Furthermore, the interactive effects of shade tolerance, light supply, time and CO, present new challenges to modellers in their efforts to predict the responses of trees, forest stands and forest ecosystems to climate change. More research in this area should help to improve the reliability and precision of model predictions, particularly process-based models.

Funding

The study was funded by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Discovery grants to Q. L. Dang (Project No. 203198-2013-RGPIN) and Lakehead University Graduate Assistantships to J. Marfo.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Joan Lee for logistic support for the experiment and Dr. C. Shahi for advice on experiment design and data analysis.

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

REFERENCES

- Ainsworth EA, Long SP (2005) What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE)? A metaanalytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising CO₂. *New Phytol* **165**:351–371.
- Bigras FJ, Bertrand A (2006) Responses of *Picea mariana* to elevated CO₂ concentration during growth, cold hardening and dehardening: phenology, cold tolerance, photosynthesis and growth. *Tree Physiol* **26**:875–888.
- Bolker BM, Pacala SW, Bazzaz FA, *et al.* (1995) Species-diversity and ecosystem response to carbon dioxide fertilization: conclusions from a temperate forest model. *Glob Change Biol* 1:373–381.
- Curtis PS, Wang X (1998) A meta-analysis of elevated CO₂ effects on woody plant mass, form, and physiology. *Oecologia* **113**:299–313.
- Dang QL, Marfo J, Du FG, *et al.* (2020) Nutrient supply and belowground interaction alter responses to CO_2 elevation in black spruce and white spruce. *For Ecol Manage* **472**:118271.
- Danyagri G, Dang QL (2013) Effects of elevated $[CO_2]$ and low soil moisture on the physiological responses of mountain maple (*Acer spicatum* L.) seedling to light. *PLoS One* **8**:e76586.
- Danyagri G, Dang QL (2014a) Effects of elevated carbon dioxide concentration and soil temperature on the growth and biomass responses of mountain maple (*Acer spicatum* L.) seedlings to light availability. J Plant Ecol 7:535–543.
- Danyagri G, Dang QL (2014b) Soil temperature and phosphorus supply interactively affect physiological responses of white birch to CO₂ elevation. *Am J Plant Sci* **5**:219–229.
- Drake BG, Gonzalez-Meler MA, Long SP (1997) More efficient plants: a consequence of rising atmospheric CO₂? *Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol* **48**:609–639.
- Drake BF, Leadley PW (1991) Canopy photosynthesis of crops and native plant communities exposed to long-term elevated CO₂ treatment. *Plant Cell Environ* 14:853–860.
- Dudley SA (1996) Differing selection on plant physiological traits in response to environmental water availability: a test of adaptive hypotheses. *Evolution* **50**:92–102.

- Grossnickle SC (2000) *Ecophysiology of Northern Spruce Seedlings: The Performance of Planted Seedlings*. Ottawa, Canada: National Research Council of Canada, 407.
- Gunderson CA, Sholtis JD, Wullscheger SD, *et al.* (2002) Environmental and stomatal control of photosynthetic enhancement in the canopy of a sweetgum (*Liquidambar styraciflua* L.) plantation during 3 years of CO₂ enrichment. *Plant Cell Environ* **25**:379–393.
- Herrick JD, Thomas RB (1999) Effects of CO₂ enrichment on the photosynthetic light response of sun and shade leaves of canopy sweetgum (*Liquidambar styraciflua*) in a forest ecosystem. *Tree Physiol* **19**:779–786.
- Huang JG, Bergeron P, Denneler B, et al. (2007) Response of forest trees to increased atmospheric CO₂. Cri Rev Plant Sci 2:265–283.
- Hymus GJ, Baker NR, Long SP (2001) Growth in elevated CO₂ can both increase and decrease photochemistry and photoinhibition of photosynthesis in a predictable manner. *Dactylis glomerata* grown in two levels of nitrogen nutrition. *Plant Physiol* **127**:1204–1211.
- Idso SB, Wall GW, Kimball BA (1993) Interactive effects of atmospheric CO₂ enrichment and light intensity reductions on net photosynthesis of sour orange tree leaves. *J Exp Bot* **33**:367–375.
- IPCC (2019) Climate Change and Land: An IPCC Special Report on Climate Change, Desertification, Land Degradation, Sustainable Land Management, Food Security, and Greenhouse Gas Fluxes in Terrestrial Ecosystems. https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/ uploads/2019/08/Fullreport-1.pdf (1 October 2019, date last accessed).
- Kerstiens G (1998) Shade-tolerance as a predictor of responses to elevated CO₂ in trees. *Physiol Plant* **102**:472–480.
- Körner C (2000) Biosphere responses to CO₂ enrichment. *Ecol Appl* **10**:1590–1619.
- Körner C (2006) Plant CO₂ responses: an issue of definition, time and resource supply. *New Phytol* **172**:393–411.
- Kubiske ME, Pregitzer KS (1996) Effects of elevated CO_2 and light availability on the photosynthetic light response of trees of contrasting shade tolerance. *Tree Physiol* **16**:351–358.
- Lambers H, Oliveira RS (2019) *Plant Physiological Ecology*, 3rd edn. New York, NY: Springer, 736.
- Lamhamedi MS, Bernier PY (1994) Ecophysiology and field performance of black spruce (*Picea mariana*): a review. *Ann For Sci* **51**:529–551.
- Leakey ADB, Press MC, Scholes JD, *et al.* (2002) Relative enhancement of photosynthesis and growth at elevated CO_2 is greater under sunflecks than uniform irradiance in a tropical rain forest tree seedling. *Plant Cell Environ* **25**:1701–1714.
- Lieffers VJ, Stadt K (1994) Growth of understory *Picea glauca*, *Calamagrostis canadensis* and *Epilobium angustifolium* in relation to overstory light. *Can J For Res* **24**:1193–1198.
- Long SP, Drake BG (1991) Effect of the long-term elevation of CO_2 concentration in the field on the quantum yield of photosynthesis of the C_3 sedge, *Scirpus olneyi*. *Plant Physiol* **96**:221–226.

- Man R, Lieffers VJ (1997) Seasonal photosynthetic responses to light and temperature in white spruce (*Picea glauca*) seedlings planted under an aspen (*Populus tremuloides*) canopy and in the open. *Tree Physiol* **17**:437–444.
- Marfo J, Dang QL (2009) Interactive effects of carbon dioxide concentration and light on the morphological and biomass characteristics of black spruce and white spruce seedlings. *Botany* **87**:67–77.
- Messier C, Doucet R, Ruel JC, *et al.* (1999) Functional ecology of advance regeneration in relation to light in boreal forests. *Can J For Res* **29**:812–823.
- Naumburg E, Housman DC, Huxman TE, *et al.* (2003) Photosynthetic responses of Mojave Desert shrubs to free air CO_2 enrichment are greatest during wet years. *Glob Change Biol* **9**:276–285.
- Nienstaedt H, Zasada JC (1990) Picea glauca (Moench) Voss, white spruce. In Burns RM, Honkala BH (eds). Silvics of North America, Vol. 1. Conifers, Agricultural Handbook 654. Tech. Cords. Washington, DC: Honkala USDA Forest Service, 204–226.
- Osborne CP, Drake BG, LaRoche J, *et al.* (1997) Does long-term elevation of CO₂ concentration increase photosynthesis in forest floor vegetation? Indiana strawberry in a Maryland forest. *Plant Physiol* **114**:337–344.
- Rogers A, Ellsworth DS (2002) Photosynthetic acclimation of *Pinus taeda* (loblolly pine) to long-term growth in elevated CO₂ (FACE). *Plant Cell Environ* **25**:851–858.
- Saxe H, Ellsworth DS, Heath J (1998) Tree and forest functioning in an enriched CO₂ atmosphere. *New Phytol* 169:395–436.
- Teskey RO, Shrestha RB (1985) A relationship between carbon dioxide, photosynthetic efficiency and shade tolerance. *Physiol Plant* **63**:126–132.
- Viereck LA, Johnston WF (1990) Picea mariana (Mill.) B.S.P. In Burns RM, Honkala BH (eds). Silvics of North America, Vol. 1. Conifers, Agricultural Handbook 654. Tech. Cords. Washington, DC: USDA Forest Service, 227–237.
- Walters MB, Reich PB (1999) Low light carbon balance and shade tolerance in the seedlings of woody plants: do winter deciduous and broad-leafed evergreen species differ? *New Phytol* **143**:143–154.
- Ward JK, Strain BR (1999) Elevated CO₂ studies: past, present and future. *Tree Physiol* **19**:211–220.
- Zhang SR, Dang QL (2005) Effects of soil temperature and elevated CO₂ concentration on gas exchange, in vivo carboxylation and chlorophyll fluorescence in jack pine and white birch seedlings. *Tree Physiol* **25**:523–541.
- Zhang SR, Dang QL (2006) Effects of carbon dioxide concentration and nutrition on photosynthetic functions of white birch. *Tree Physiol* **26**:1457–1467.
- Zhang SR, Dang QL (2007) Interactive effects of soil temperature and [CO₂] on morphological and biomass traits in seedlings of four boreal tree species. *For Sci* **53**:453–460.
- Zhang SR, Dang QL, Yu XG (2006) Nutrient and [CO₂] elevation had synergistic effects on biomass production but not on biomass allocation of white birch seedlings. *For Ecol Manage* **234**:238–244.